IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ALUMINUM BAHRAIN B.S.C.,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action

VS.

No. 2:08-CV-299-DWA

ALCOA, INC., ALCOA WORLD ALUMINA LLC, WILLIAM RICE, and VICTOR DAHDALEH,

Defendant.

Transcript of STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS held on Monday, June 25, 2012, in the United States District Court, 700 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before The Hon. Donetta W. Ambrose, United States District Judge

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Mark J. MacDougall, Esq. Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer

& Feld, LLP

1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20036

Charles B. Gibbons, Esq.

Buchanan, Ingersoll & Rooney, PC

One Oxford Centre, 20th Floor

301 Grant Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

For Defendants Alcoa, Inc. and Alcoa World Alumina:

David L. McClenahan, Esq. Nicole A. Stockey, Esq.

K&L Gates

K&L Gates Center 210 Sixth Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15222

APPEARANCES: (cont.)

For Defendants Alcoa, Inc.

and Alcoa World Alumina, LLC: (via conference call)

Evan R. Chesler, Esq. Julie A. North, Esq.

Cravath, Swaine & Moore, LLP

Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Ave. New York, NY 10019

For Defendant William Rice: Richard L. Beizer, Esq.

Amy S. Lee, Esq.

Crowell & Moring, LLP

1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20004-2595

For Defendant Victor Dahdaleh: David C. Esseks, Esq.

Michael S. Feldberg, Esq. Carrie Baker Anderson, Esq.

Allen & Overy LLP

1221 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

David J. Berardinelli, Esq. DeForest, Koscelnik, Yokitis,

Skinner & Berardinelli Koppers Building, 30th Floor

436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Court Reporter: Deborah Rowe, RMR, CRR

700 Grant Street, Ste. 5300

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 471-2510

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

1 $\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$ 2 3 (In open court, 2:00 p.m.) 4 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Mr. McClenahan called 5 our office this morning telling us there were people that 6 were not able to make it from New York? 7 MR. McCLENAHAN: That's right, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Are they on the phone? 9 THE CLERK: Your Honor, they were disconnected. 10 I'll have to get them back on the phone. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 (Brief pause.) 13 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Ambrose 14 for those of you who are on the phone, because I do have a 15 court reporter here, which I normally don't at an initial 16 scheduling conference, but I just thought we would have one 17 here today. 18 We are here in the case of Aluminum Bahrain 19 against Alcoa, Inc., et al., at No. 8-299. So I don't know 20 if you all have done anything since the motions to dismiss 21 were decided about conferring and trying to come up with a 2.2. joint case management order. I assume that that would 23 probably be more productive than my just doing something 24 arbitrarily.

I would hope that you could agree. If you could

not, I would certainly look at different versions and quickly come up with a final.

2.2.

But I want you all to know that I'm going to be out of the country from right after the Fourth of July until July 23. So I would like to get an initial case management conference done before that.

So that's one of the things that I want you to address, and I want you to certainly pay close attention to our local rules because we have a local rule specifically addressing the discovery of electronically stored information, and I would like, you know, to know that in the Rule 26F report as well.

You all may know or may not know that we also have a requirement for ADR in this Court, and that is a requirement.

Now, I don't know if it is productive now. Our rule — the default is that it should occur within 60 days of today. That might be difficult in this case. I don't know if you can do that or if perhaps you might need a little discovery before we do that.

I'm not sure. These are things I'm going to have to learn from you, but we do have that, and it is a requirement, and I don't see any reason that this case would be exempted from that requirement.

So those are the kinds of things we're talking

2.2.

about today, hopefully getting an order in place. And since I didn't get anything from you before today in terms of a stipulated case management order, I assume that that has not been done yet.

So who wants to talk and tell me about what your plans are for getting together and coming up with some deadlines for fact discovery? I would only ask that you please identify yourself for the record before you speak. So does someone want to speak on behalf of the Plaintiff?

MR. MacDOUGALL: Mark MacDougall for Aluminum Bahrain, B.S.C. We're certainly prepared, and I'm sure counsel for the Defendant as well, to sit down or telephonically put together a case management order or at least try to very quickly.

THE COURT: What I would want you to do is at least talk about the completion of fact discovery. Maybe — and I'm not sure because I'm not sure what kind of experts you would have in this case, but perhaps even the completion of expert discovery and expert depositions.

I don't intend to go any further than that in terms of an order at this time because it's my practice that when discovery is complete, we get together again if the case is still ongoing; and then if the case is not going to resolve in some other way, I will give you 30 days to file dispositive motions, and I will rule on those dispositive

2.2.

motions; and when I rule on the dispositive motions, we get together another time, and then you would have a trial date.

And I can tell you that even though it seems like that's far in the distant future — and it might be, but might not — that when I give you the trial date, it won't be so far away — it won't be years away. It will be months away. And of course, depending — there's so many lawyers involved — depending on what your schedules are as well.

So I just wanted you to know that when you get together, what I would really want from you is fact discovery, perhaps expert, unless you think there's a reason to get together after fact discovery and before experts, and you can let me know that.

And the ADR process that you intend to engage in, and if you can agree on someone to be the mediator, we have three options of ADR. I really think mediation is the option for this case. That's my opinion.

I mean I don't think that the early neutral evaluation is going to help as much as it does in some other cases. And I don't see any reason why you would opt for arbitration either. So I think that mediation is probably the answer for this case. So those are the things that I would like you to meet and confer on.

Our policies and procedures for ADR indicate that if you can't agree on a process or a neutral, then I will

name someone, but you all may have someone in mind that you know that perhaps isn't local. Maybe you've dealt with people on a wider scale.

You know, it doesn't matter to me if you agree on someone. I'm glad to appoint that person. So I didn't mean to interrupt you, but I wanted to give you the scope of what I would want from you.

MR. MacDOUGALL: And you would need that mediator identified rather quickly if it's going to be completed within 60 days?

THE COURT: Well, 60 days -- I differ I guess from some of my colleagues. Sixty days is not a drop dead time limit for me. I understand that these are -- you all have huge practices, and probably the mediator you're going to pick has a huge mediation practice.

So I recognize that 60 days might not be feasible. It might not be. So I would like to know the dates for the discovery cutoffs perhaps before I leave, but the mediator, if you can't come to that conclusion and you need some more time to work on that, because that's an important decision for both sides, and if you can't, you know, perhaps that can wait until the week of July 23, and we can deal with that either by phone or — if you can't agree — or by your letting me know then, and I can get out the order then.

MR. MacDOUGALL: Yes, Your Honor. The only other

1 thing I would like to mention that I think is relevant to 2 discovery, particularly document discovery, is that one of 3 the benefits of the last four years of criminal 4 investigation is that all the parties -- I know I can speak 5 for Alba -- have an exhaustive and well indexed electronic 6 record of documents that have been collected. I'm quite 7 sure Alcoa has the same. 8 So I would expect that those deadlines are 9 deadlines that we can roughly set in the relative near term 10 as opposed to having people going through boxes and doing it 11 traditionally. 12 Thank you. THE COURT: 13 MR. McCLENAHAN: Your Honor, Evan Chesler will be 14 speaking for Alcoa, and he's on the phone. At least I 15 believe he is. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Chesler, do you want to 17 speak about these topics? 18 MR. CHESLER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 19 thank you, Your Honor, for the courtesy of letting us do 20 this by phone. Unfortunately, we spent a lot of time today 21 on a runway and did not successfully ever leave the runway. 2.2. So we very much appreciate the courtesy of being able to do 23 this remotely. 24 THE COURT: Surely.

MR. CHESLER: With respect to the discovery

2.2.

matter, I agree with Mr. MacDougall that there's no reason why we cannot sit down either in person or by phone very quickly and attempt to come up with an agreement as to the cutoff dates that Your Honor's suggested, and we certainly will undertake on our side to do that forthwith.

And if there is a disagreement, as Your Honor indicated, we'll be able to come back to you to resolve it; but we'll certainly endeavor to work to an agreed upon cutoff date.

And also, we'll confer with counsel promptly with respect to the mediation issue and see if we can't come up with a mutually acceptable mediator for that purpose. I'm sure counsel has worked with many, as have we, and that ought to be a topic that we can address promptly and have our respective experiences inform us and hopefully come to an agreement on that as well.

Your Honor, the only thing I would say is that in the discovery process, given the number of people identified in the pleadings who do not reside in the United States, the challenge will be to try to figure out how to give us the necessary time to seek and obtain the discovery we need with respect to those people, but those are people who have been identified in the Plaintiff's pleadings.

So presumably there won't be much trouble in figuring out where they are and trying to use that

information to come to a mutually acceptable set of cutoff dates that we can provide for the Court promptly.

2.2.

THE COURT: Okay. And again, I recognize that this isn't going to be something that — you know, I want this case to move quickly, but also not unreasonably as well. I want, you know, the information to be obtained that you need, both sides need, and hopefully that will happen without too much trouble. But I don't know. There could be problems.

Generally I tell counsel in every case that before any discovery motions are filed, I would want you to call me. Sometimes we just have you FAX a one-page position if it's a difficult issue, you know, before the phone call. Because I find that we can usually get those things resolved immediately. I don't know if that will work in this case.

You know, I'm hopeful that we won't have to do anything extraordinary. I think I will be able to handle the discovery disputes unless they get to be, you know, out of hand. And I'm not expecting that because I think there's been a lot of information already obtained.

So I'm hoping that that will happen. But we'll see as we move on.

But I still think that if there are issues with discovery, it would be still beneficial for you to call first before filing motions. We want to try to keep that --

1 I would like to try to keep that down and make those 2 decisions immediately, and we always put in what the 3 substance of the dispute was and a decision so there's a 4 record of everything that happens. 5 MR. CHESLER: We understand, Your Honor. 6 you. 7 THE COURT: So let me think what else. Anything 8 else that you all want to talk about? I know some of you 9 came here. Yes? 10 MR. BEIZER: Your Honor, my name is Richard 11 Beizer, and with Amy Lee we represent Bill Rice, an 12 individual Defendant in this, and I would only build on what 13 Mr. Chesler said and what Mark MacDougall said. 14 Mark and I talked last week, counsel for Alba, and 15 just briefly Mark emphasized the point that he represented 16 Alba and not the Government of Bahrain; and along the lines 17 of what Mr. Chesler said, there are witnesses in foreign 18 countries who are not part of the party Plaintiff. They are 19 from the Government of Bahrain, and they're identified in 20 the Complaint as having information pertaining to Mr. Rice. 21 So that's --22 THE COURT: And certainly they're not within my 23 jurisdiction.

MR. BEIZER: Apparently not, Your Honor, and that

is one of the issues that I just wanted to call particularly

24

to the Court's attention --

THE COURT: So how do you think we're going to deal with that? Because I would think that probably there isn't -- maybe I'm wrong -- do you think there's going to be a lot of cooperation from the Government?

MR. BEIZER: I'm hard pressed to say that. Having read yesterday's article in the New York Times about political unrest in Bahrain, I'm hard pressed to say what the cooperation of the Government of Bahrain is as opposed to Mark's client — as opposed to Mr. MacDougall's client is likely to be in the discovery.

All I note is that with respect to Mr. Rice, there are allegations in the Complaint about his dealings with people who are members of the Government or I should say were members of the Government of Bahrain because our information is seven, eight years old when Mr. Rice was last involved in this matter.

So I just alert in that that may be a problem, but let's see if we can accommodate it when we negotiate a case management order. But I wanted to alert the Court's attention to that --

THE COURT: And I mean I'm really not sure how we'll ever solve that problem. Any ideas, Mr. MacDougall?

MR. MacDOUGALL: What I will be happy to represent is that Alba will do all it can to encourage and facilitate

1 any material witness.

2.2.

Now, Alba's a publicly traded company, and it has a major shareholder that after three permutations as the Government of Bahrain, but some of the officials, I think one in particular that Mr. Beizer is referring to, I would like to talk to, too, and haven't been able to.

THE COURT: And may not be able to.

MR. MacDOUGALL: Almost certainly won't because of the nature of the allegations. We're going to do everything we can, but Mr. Beizer quite correctly points out that our — you know, our charter is limited, and that we will certainly produce all our existing employees, but many of the people involved in these allegations either are long gone employees or never were employees at all.

MR. CHESLER: Your Honor, this is Evan Chesler. I didn't want to interrupt anyone there.

THE COURT: No. It's fine, Mr. Chesler. Go ahead.

MR. CHESLER: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate counsel's statement that he's going to do all he can to facilitate it because obviously that's a matter of great importance to Alcoa as well.

By my count at least, there are 23 people who are non U.S.-based people who are identified specifically in the Complaint and/or the RICO case statement; and as far as I

2.2.

can tell, only two of those 23 appear to be current employees of Alba, yet, you know, the other 20-odd are alleged to have played important roles in the matters that are alleged in the Complaint.

So it's going to be of great importance for our ability to defend against the charges that we obtain necessary discovery from those people, and I do appreciate counsel's statement that he'll do what he can to facilitate it, and hopefully that will help.

But at the end of the day we may have a difficult problem to come back to the Court with if we do run into those problems because, once the allegations are made, it's our duty and responsibility to prepare to deal with them, and we have to take the allegations as we see them and find them in the Complaint and seek to take discovery to address those matters.

So we're going to be hard at work at that, and we'll do everything we can on behalf of Alcoa to expedite that and obtain the information we need.

THE COURT: I think it's going to be a problem. I don't know how -- I don't know how to solve it at this point. We'll deal with it when we have to.

Is there anything more? You want to say something, sir?

MR. ESSEKS: Yes, David Esseks, representing

1 Victor Dahdaleh. I want to raise two points, not about the 2 discovery management schedule, but two matters before that, 3 They're linked. Your Honor. One is concerning a 1292(b) certification request 4 5 that we will make of Your Honor regarding the personal 6 jurisdiction ruling that the Court issued a couple weeks 7 ago. And related to that, we're going to ask Your Honor to 8 stay discovery of Mr. Dahdaleh pending resolution of the 9 criminal charges against him that are pending in London, and 10 trial is set currently for April 2013. 11 The first bit about 1292(b) certification, Your 12 Honor, is simply to tell the Court that we were going to 13 make this request of you. We would like to schedule it on a 14 schedule convenient to Your Honor and Plaintiffs --15 THE COURT: I mean file it when you file it. 16 MR. ESSEKS: We'll file --17 THE COURT: And I would assume that at least Alba 18 and maybe Alcoa as well would like to say something about 19 that -- I'm not sure, but I'm sure -- or not. 20 MR. MacDOUGALL: Absolutely. 21 I don't know. Maybe even Alcoa. No? THE COURT: 22 MR. McCLENAHAN: I'll bow to Mr. Chesler, Your 23 Honor. 24 MR. CHESLER: Your Honor, I'm sorry. There were

two people talking at once, and I couldn't hear what you

1 just said. 2 THE COURT: Well, go ahead. You tell him. 3 MR. ESSEKS: Mr. Chesler, I'm telling the Court 4 we're going to make a 1292(b) certification request 5 regarding the issue of personal jurisdiction over 6 Mr. Dahdaleh on a co-conspirator jurisdiction theory. 7 THE COURT: And I inquired I assume that Alba 8 would like to say something about that, at least reply to 9 the motion in some fashion, and I thought perhaps maybe even 10 Alcoa. 11 MR. CHESLER: Yes, Your Honor. We certainly 12 want -- obviously we'll wait and see what the papers say, 13 but we certainly want to reserve the right to make a 14 statement about it at the appropriate time. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Well, if the motion is filed, and I 16 assume it's going to be, and it's not only going to be a 17 motion for certification of an interlocutory appeal, but as 18 well as stay of discovery with respect to Mr. Dahdaleh. 19 So when it is filed, I don't know how much time 20 you might need to respond. You can tell me if you want. Or 21 you want to look at it first? 2.2. MR. CHESLER: I would appreciate, Your Honor, if 23 we could look at it, and then if -- immediately within 24

hours of receiving it, we would submit a request to the

Court for a schedule on which to respond.

24

1 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. 2 MR. MacDOUGALL: No objection. 3 MR. ESSEKS: Your Honor. Very good. Thank you for the 1292(b) certification. I wanted to know if the 4 5 Court could hear -- I would like to address the discovery 6 stay issue now at first --7 THE COURT: Aren't you going to file a motion for 8 the stay? 9 MR. ESSEKS: Your Honor, I was going to try and 10 talk Your Honor into it today. 11 THE COURT: Well, I mean if you're going to file a 12 motion for an interlocutory appeal, I don't know, maybe -- I 13 don't know who all is prepared to respond to a stay for 14 Mr. Dahdaleh, but no, I think I probably want the motion and 15 the response on that. 16 MR. ESSEKS: Very well, Judge. We'll submit the 17 papers. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So that we have this clear, it 19 appears that Mr. Dahdaleh is going to be filing really one 20 motion asking for two things, for an interlocutory appeal 21 and -- for certification of an interlocutory appeal and for 2.2. a stay of discovery as it pertains to him, Mr. Dahdaleh. 23 And soon after getting the motion, Alcoa and Alba 24 are going to be sending me something quickly that says how 25 much time they need. And you can -- you know, you can even

2.2.

do this in a paper by FAX. Does everyone have my FAX number? Okay. You can do that. Because it's really not a pleading, saying how much time you need to respond. So it will be on record.

But just to expedite things, just FAX something to me saying, look, I've looked at this motion of Mr. Dahdaleh, and I think I need two weeks or three weeks or whatever you think you need.

MR. BEIZER: I know Your Honor has said Alcoa and Alba can respond, but just to reserve for Mr. Rice --

THE COURT: Absolutely. And I did not mean to exclude you. So everybody would be involved in this. You would let me know for Mr. Rice then as well.

MR. BEIZER: We will, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anybody else want to say something today? Well, I just want to say a few things before I — before we hang up just to make sure they're on the record.

I know I told you at least one of these things in 2008, but a lot of things have passed since then. I want to make sure there's a record of this. Although I am not concerned about any of these things, I definitely want you to know.

I want you to know that my father worked for Alcoa, and I believe, as I said, I told you that in 2008, he

2.2.

worked there from approximately 1939 to I would say 1971 or 1972. He was a laborer in the factory in New Kensington. He was not involved in any executive position.

Along that line, something I just thought of actually, but I wanted you to know. In nineteen -- well, 49 years ago I was named an Alcoa scholar.

Now, as it turned out, I did not take the money.

So I never got any money from Alcoa to go to college. They had some sort of rule that you couldn't have other scholarships, and I had a full tuition scholarship. So I was in the —— I did not accept the Alcoa. But I was named a scholar and probably am in some old magazine as an Alcoa scholar.

Thirdly, not that I think it pertains necessarily, but because the Securities and Exchange Commission is involved in some criminal investigations, I wanted you to know that my son is employed by the SEC. He is not in enforcement in any respect. He works for the general counsel, and he does not get involved in any general enforcement proceedings, so I wanted to just make sure that those were matters that were on the record so that everyone knows.

MR. CHESLER: Evan Chesler, Your Honor. Thank you for that. I do believe that as to the first two of those matters, you informed all counsel back at the initial stage

of the litigation. We thank you for putting all that on the record.

THE COURT: Yeah. I thought I did, but I want to make sure it's there again. Again, I don't know that the SEC thing pertains to this case, but I know it's out there.

Okay. Anything more at all?

2.2.

MR. MacDOUGALL: Just a question if I may, Your Honor. Mr. Esseks' motion for interlocutory appeal and his motion for stay of discovery, does the Court anticipate that's going to affect the case management —

THE COURT: No. I do not. I do not. Now, if you think, again -- well, I don't know how you're going to know this. But we do not normally in this court stay discovery during the mediation process. And I would not do this unless you all called me and said, you know what, we're so close, we don't want to spend this money. Because let's face it. Enormous resources have been dedicated to this and will continue to be. And I understand that.

But normally, no, it would not. Until I have signed an order, if that happens, staying discovery with respect to Mr. Dahdaleh, it does not happen. Discovery goes on.

And of course, I haven't entered an initial case management order. I would like to do that, you know. I think -- I would like to do that by July 5. I would.

1	But again, I don't want to be unreasonable. I
2	don't know how long it's going to take you to get together
3	to come up with this proposal. I'm hoping you can do it by
4	then, but if you can't, you can't. You know, I want this
5	case to move forward, but I don't want to be crazy about it.
6	I don't want to be unreasonable about it.
7	So again, if you can come up with something by
8	July 5, that would be great, and I'll sign it before I
9	leave. Otherwise I'll be back on July 23, and I'll give my
10	immediate attention to it.
11	MR. MacDOUGALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	MR. McCLENAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	MR. CHESLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Anything more? Can you think
15	of anything, Heidi?
16	THE CLERK: No.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all very much.
18	MR. CHESLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	MR. ESSEKS: Have a good day, Judge.
20	(Proceedings were concluded at 2:27 p.m.)
21	
22	CERTIFICATE
23	I, Deborah Rowe, certify that the foregoing
24	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter.
25	S/Deborah Rowe Certified Realtime Reporter